

MEDEDPREP

NREMT ITEM WRITING & TEST STRATEGY

Professional Reference for EMS Educators



7 Essential Rules for Item Quality

What This Solves: Stops your team from writing questions with unclear wording, missing scope, or random wrong answers that don't teach anything.

1	Independent Lead-in	Stem answerable before seeing options. Tests clinical knowledge, not reading comprehension.
2	Single Defensible Key	Only one answer works given the constraints. If two could work, add vitals or scope.
3	Homogeneous Options	Match length, grammar, and units. Inconsistent options telegraph the correct answer.
4	Diagnostic Distractors	Wrong answers reveal real misconceptions. Shows what students misunderstand, not random guessing.
5	Scope in the Stem	Provider level (BLS/AEMT/Paramedic) must be explicit. Don't make students guess what's available.
6	Word Count Discipline	Keep stems under 120–140 words. Long stems test reading speed, not knowledge.
7	Track Performance	Monitor difficulty & discrimination after each exam. See stats card for interpreting these metrics.

👱 Red Flags to Catch in Peer Review

- Two answers both work → Add vitals or scope constraint
- Wrong answers are random → Replace with common student mistakes
- ► Can't answer without seeing options → Rewrite the stem
- Scope isn't stated → Add "BLS unit" or "Paramedic" to stem

Quick Pearls

- ├── If two answers work, you didn't fence scope tight enough
- Random distractors don't teach—use real student errors
- Long stems test reading speed, not clinical knowledge

>>>

Process of Elimination (POE) Speed Strategy

What This Solves: Gives students a repeatable elimination sequence that works under exam pressure and prevents paralysis.

- Predict Read lead-in first; cover options and answer silently
- Disconfirm Re-read stem for details that contradict your prediction
- 3 Kill by Rule Priority → Contraindication → Scope (in that order)
- 4 Compare Survivors Least risk / highest benefit given presentation

💡 How to Teach Step 1

Ask students to distinguish between these questions:

"What should be done first?"

→ Tests priority sequence (oxygen first—keeps airway open)

"What will benefit the patient most?"

 \rightarrow Tests understanding of definitive treatment (epinephrine—treats anaphylaxis)

Same scenario. Same answer choices. Different correct answer. Priority isn't just "what saves them"—it's "what kills them FIRST."

Real Example: Anaphylaxis with severe respiratory distress

- "What should be done first?" → Oxygen (airway failure kills in minutes)
- "What will benefit most?" → Epinephrine (reverses allergic cascade)

Why? Oxygen keeps them alive long enough FOR the epi to work. Epi takes 3-5 minutes to kick in.

▲ Common Student Error

Asking "What would YOU do?" gets different answers based on who's answering.

Train them to ask: "What should the [EMT/AEMT/Paramedic] do?"

→ Forces scope awareness and removes personal bias.

Quick Pearls

- * Priority error kills faster than wrong medication choice
- Contraindications = instant elimination (no exceptions)
- * When in doubt, protect the airway first



Understanding Item Statistics

What This Solves: Takes the mystery out of post-exam numbers. You don't need a PhD—just these two metrics to make smart decisions about your item bank.

p-value (Item Difficulty)

This is just the percentage of students who got the question right. Written as a decimal (0.00 to 1.00) or percent (0% to 100%).

What the numbers mean:

- p = 0.90 (90% correct) \rightarrow Too easy, everyone's getting it
- p = 0.60 (60% correct) → Good difficulty for most items
- p = 0.30 (30% correct) → Hard question, only strong students pass
- p = 0.10 (10% correct) → Way too hard or broken

What you want:

- Most items: p = 0.50-0.70 (moderate difficulty)
- Some stretch items: p = 0.30-0.49 (challenging)
- Few anchors: p = 0.71-0.85 (easier, builds confidence)

Point-biserial (Discrimination)

This tells you if the item separates your strong students from weak ones. It's a correlation number from -1.00 to +1.00.

What the numbers mean:

- ≥0.30 = Excellent (strong students get it, weak students don't)
- 0.20-0.29 = Good (acceptable for most uses)
- 0.10-0.19 = Weak (barely separating students-needs work)
- <0.10 = Problem (not working—fix or toss it)
- Negative = Red flag (weak students passing, strong students failing miskey or trick question)

Plain English: If your best students are missing it at the same rate as your weakest students, the question isn't testing knowledge—it's testing luck or reading tricks.

Important Note About Terminology

Point-biserial and discrimination index are NOT the same thing. Point-biserial is a correlation coefficient (what we use here). Discrimination index is calculated differently and uses different cutoff values. Most modern testing software reports point-biserial —that's what these guidelines are for.



Item Triage Decision Grid

What This Solves: Post-exam bank review in 30 seconds per item. Look at the grid, find your item's location, do what it says.

	Low Point-Biserial (<0.10)	High Point-Biserial (≥0.20)
Low p (0.20–0.40)	RETIRE / REWRITE Hard question that confuses everyone equally. Likely has ambiguous wording or a defensible distractor.	KEEP (Advanced) Difficult but clean. Strong students get it, weak don't. Good for stretching top performers.
Mid p (0.50–0.70)	REVISE Moderate difficulty but not discriminating. Clarify stem, rebuild distractors to target real student errors.	KEEP (Core Zone) Perfect. Healthy difficulty with strong discrimination. Most of your bank should be here.
High p (0.75+)	WATCH / TUTORIAL Too easy and not discriminating. Good for confidence-building early in course. Monitor for answer leakage.	KEEP (Anchor) Easy but still separates students. Good for preventing zero-scores and test calibration.

Quick Decision Rules

High p + High biser → Keep it (easy but still shows who knows their stuff)

Low p + Low biser → Fix or toss (hard AND confusing = broken) Negative biser → Suspect miskey (your best students are failing while weak students pass)

Mid p + Low biser → Your distractors aren't attracting the right mistakes

How to Fix Low-Discrimination Items

- Shorten the stem—remove double negatives and extra words
- Add ONE deciding piece of info (vital sign, history detail, physical finding)
- Make sure scope is crystal clear in the stem
- Replace at least one random distractor with a common student
- If you can't fix it in 10 minutes, retire it and write a new one

Bank Management Pearls

- Aim for 60-70% of your bank in the "mid-p + high-biser" core zone
- Low-discrimination items waste everyone's time—they don't measure anything
- Don't defend a broken item because "it tests important content" write a better one
- Track items over time—if p-value jumps suddenly, suspect answer leakage



